

retribution, prosecutors and tax police have raided groups, forced them to shut down and seized documents and equipment, according to Eric Kessler, a staffer with the U.S.-based National Democratic Institute.

The institute, like other pro-democracy organizations, has helped Kazakhstan's small civic groups, often with small grants from the U.S. government. Resistance to the media law shows that their work is not in vain. But overall the fight for democracy is not succeeding, and America's split personality on the subject may be one reason. While backing democracy in a small way, the Clinton administration was more than willing to welcome and forgive Mr. Nazarbayev, because he controls substantial oil and gas wealth, and because his country's independence is seen as a check to potential Russian expansionism from the north or Chinese pushiness from the east.

Mr. Nazarbayev may expect the Bush administration, with its concern for expanding sources of oil and gas, to be even friendlier. But President Bush and his team also have stressed the importance of values in foreign policy, particularly the values of freedom and free markets—neither of which is embraced in Kazakhstan. Mr. Nazarbayev's strategy of hoarding power and oil wealth for a small elite is not a recipe for long-term stability. The Bush administration ought to help those inside Kazakhstan who continue to struggle for a different kind of future.

AN INCOMPLETE INVESTIGATION

HON. BARNEY FRANK

OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 3, 2001

Mr. FRANK. Mr. Speaker, on Tuesday of this week I expressed my strong disapproval of the Navy policy of scheduling potentially dangerous military events solely for edification of those civilians that the Navy is seeking to turn into lobbyists for the budget, and I also expressed my disappointment at the failure of the House so far to hold the Navy—and the rest of the Pentagon—to a reasonable standard of behavior in this regard. Subsequent to my statement I came across the accompanying editorial from the New York Times, appropriately entitled *An Incomplete Investigation*. In the editorial the Times notes "testimony indicated that the only reason the ship went to sea that day was to entertain sixteen civilian guests as part of a Navy program aimed at cultivating good will. One of the shortcomings of the Navy's public court of inquiry was that none of these civilians was summoned to testify . . . the civilians might well be asked to appear at any court martial, and their testimony in turn could discredit the civilian visitor program." The Navy has refused to deal honestly with the role of these civilians in this terrible tragedy, and has announced that it intends to continue this program without any correction. We in the House have a responsibility not to allow this to happen. And I ask that the very thoughtful editorial from the New York Times on this subject be printed here.

AN INCOMPLETE INVESTIGATION

Unless Adm. Thomas Fargo decides otherwise, the Navy's investigation into the colli-

sion of an American submarine with a Japanese vessel near Honolulu in February is likely to end on a premature and unsatisfactory note. A report by Elaine Sciolino in Sunday's Times quoted senior Pentagon officials as saying that the public court of inquiry into the incident had recommended that the submarine's skipper, Cmdr. Scott Waddle, not be tried by a court-martial. Instead the commander would receive some lesser punishment, like a reprimand, that would effectively end his career but spare him the military equivalent of a criminal trial.

The final decision rests with Admiral Fargo. The officials cited in the Times report said that he was unlikely to act against the panel's recommendations. Nevertheless, we urge him to consider a court-martial. We have no wish to prejudice the outcome. A court-martial affords defendants a chance to explain their behavior and to present mitigating evidence. In this instance, a court-martial is also justified by the nature of the case.

Nine people were killed in the accident, which triggered widespread resentment in Japan that could well flare up again. According to testimony presented to the court of inquiry, the operations of the submarine, the *Greeneville*, were riddled with mistakes and violations of safety rules. Commander Waddle himself testified that he had cut short or omitted several safety precautions, failed to reassign duties to compensate for the absence of a third of his normal crew and rushed the periscope search conducted just before the surfacing drill that caused the accident. The testimony also identified serious mistakes by a petty officer who failed to notify the commander that the *Greeneville* was dangerously close to the Japanese ship.

The testimony indicated that the only reason the ship went to sea that day was to entertain 16 civilian guests as part of a Navy program aimed at cultivating public good will. One of the shortcomings of the Navy's public court of inquiry was that none of these civilians were summoned to testify, though they could have been. The civilians might well be asked to appear in any court-martial, and their testimony in turn could discredit the civilian visitor program. Three of the civilians were seated at controls on the submarine at the time of the collision.

This has not been an easy time for the Navy, and it has been a grievously difficult time for Commander Waddle. But the fundamental issue here is accountability—the commander's, his crew's and the Navy's. A truncated inquiry cannot inspire the public confidence that would come with a full court-martial proceeding.

HONORING ANNA M.H. VERHESEN

HON. MARCY KAPTUR

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 3, 2001

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to recognize an outstanding woman of my district, Anna M.H. Verhesen. Ann was awarded the Key to the Golden Door Award by Toledo's International Institute on March 31, 2001. This award is given to a naturalized citizen who has made a significant contribution to the betterment of people. I join with people from throughout my community in congratulating Ann on her receipt of this award.

A dedicated and tireless advocate for the poor, unrecognized, and underserved, Ann's passion was grown in her while a very young child as she and her family protected many people fleeing Nazi persecution in Holland. Born to Hendrikus and Henrika (Kluesssjen) Verhesen in 1932, Ann began her career in service while still in the Netherlands and employed as a child care and social worker until emigrating to Canada with her family in 1959. While in Canada, Ann took her vows as a Grey Nun. In 1968, she came to the United States, serving in child care at the St. Lawrence Home in Massachusetts. A 1970 fire burned her very badly, and that accident brought her to Toledo, to the St. Vincent Hospital Burn Unit for healing. After her release, she was a counselor for substance abuse and mental health patients, and she created the Tennyson Center, the hospital's substance abuse detoxification and treatment unit. She subsequently returned to Massachusetts continuing her social work, and serving as vocation director for the Grey Nuns until 1979. She returned to St. Vincent's in 1981 and was the coordinator of community services for the next decade. During her tenure she established the Open Door, a men's half-way house for alcoholics and its counterpart for women, Harbor House, and David's House for people with AIDS. She became a vocal advocate for the homeless among us. Even while actively engaged in this work Ann pursued her studies, receiving her undergraduate degree in 1981 and her Master's in 1992. She left the Grey Nuns in the latter 1990s and now counsels in private practice. She was sworn in as a United States citizen in 1994.

Even before Pope Paul VI voiced, "If you want peace, work for justice" Ann Verhesen lived this creed. The International Institute perfectly explains her avocation in awarding the honor, "A model of gentle yet persistent advocacy for the outcast, Ann has reached out to those whom society has no time or interest in assisting. She has challenged hospitals to address their services to those who are addicted, while simultaneously challenging those who are addicted to change their lives. Ann is often the silent force behind change." This is a truly fitting tribute to a most remarkable yet humblest of women.

OUR VETERANS DESERVE BETTER ACCESS TO PRESCRIPTION MEDICATIONS

HON. GERALD D. KLECZKA

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, May 3, 2001

Mr. KLECZKA. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing legislation to permit veterans to obtain prescription medications from Veterans Affairs (VA) hospitals by using prescriptions written by their family doctor.

Our nation's veterans are entitled to seek care at VA facilities for illnesses incurred not only during their active duty service but also for post-service conditions. Because the VA recognizes that some veterans have more acute illnesses or injuries, all veterans seeking care are placed in one of seven priority categories, with veterans suffering from severe